WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

-		~	•		
l	United	States	nt.	America	

ODDED OF DETENTION DENDING TOLAL

V. Ramiro Gonzalez-Soto		ORDER OF DETENTION FENDING TRIAL				
		Case Number:	15-01534MJ-001			
represented by	counsel. I conclude by a preponderance defendant pending trial in this case.	e of the evidence the defendant	een held. Defendant was present and was is a serious flight risk and order the			
I find by a pren	Foonderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT				
a b) a p.op	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.					
	The defendant has no significant conta	acts in the United States or in the	e District of Arizona.			
The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond re calculated to assure his/her future appearance.						
×	The defendant has a prior criminal histo	ory.				
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.					
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant substantial family ties to Mexico.	ndant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United al family ties to Mexico.				
	There is a record of prior failure to appe	ear in court as ordered.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by fleeing	from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years i	mprisonment.			
The Court at the tin	ourt incorporates by reference the matering of the hearing in this matter, except as	ial findings of the Pretrial Services noted in the record.	es Agency which were reviewed by the			

- 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- 2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered,	it is counsel's responsibility to not	ify
Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow F	Pretrial Services an opportunity to	
nterview and investigate the potential third party custodian.	0/ 12/ 1-	

DATE: <u>July 16, 2015</u>

JOHN A. BUTTRICK United States Magistrate Judge